PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

-):			1	PCT
			<u> </u>		101
_				NA/D	ITTEN OPINION OF THE
_	see_form.	PCT/ISA/220_	<u> </u>		ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI
	Action are the six of				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of mailing	
				(day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
, , ,	plicant's or agent's file			FOR FURTHE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
se	e form PCT/ISA/2	.20	•	See paragraph 2 b	elow
	ernational application		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.08.2003
PC	CT/GB2004/00089	13	03.03.2004		06.08.2003
A4	17G33/12	isincation (IPC) or	both national classification		
1 ''	plicant DBLETT, Joseph		•		
110	JBLETT, JUSEPH				
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follow	lowing items:	
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion		
ļ	☑ Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. Ⅲ	Non-establishr	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	finvention		
İ	☑ Box No. V		ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations		to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		
	☐ Box No. VII	0-4-1-4-4-4			
	DOX NO. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	olication	
	=		in the international appations on the internation		
2.	=	Certain observ			
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i	Certain observ TON international prel	ations on the internation	nal application made, this opinion v	vill usually be considered to be a
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion o	Certain observ TON international prel of the International	ations on the internation iminary examination is a al Preliminary Examinin	nal application made, this opinion v g Authority ("IPEA"	. However, this does not apply where
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che	Certain observ TON international prelof the International ooses an Authori reau under Rule	ations on the internation iminary examination is a al Preliminary Examinin ty other than this one to	nal application made, this opinion v g Authority ("IPEA" o be the IPEA and t	will usually be considered to be a b. However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International But will not be so co	Certain observ FION international prel of the Internation ooses an Authori reau under Rule nsidered.	ations on the internation is a liminary examination is a liminary Examining ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written o	nal application made, this opinion v g Authority ("IPEA" o be the IPEA and t opinions of this Inter	However, this does not apply where ne chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International Bur will not be so co. If this opinion is, submit to the IPI	Certain observational predictions of the International coses an Authorizeau under Ruleinsidered. The provided about the coses as written replying the coses as well as a written replying the coses as well as a written replying the coses as well as we	ations on the internation is a lareliminary examination is a lareliminary Examining ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a lay together, where appro	made, this opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the printer, with amenda	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International Bur will not be so co. If this opinion is, submit to the IPI	Certain observational prelof the International prelof the International coses an Authorizeau under Ruleinsidered. The provided about a provided a written replyed at the of mailing of the cost of th	ations on the internation is a lareliminary examination is a lareliminary Examining ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a lay together, where appro	made, this opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the printer, with amenda	. However, this does not apply where ne chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority e IPEA, the applicant is invited to
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Bur will not be so co. If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire	Certain observational prelof the International prelof the International coses an Authorizeau under Ruleinsidered. The provided about a provided a written replyed at the of mailing of the cost of th	ations on the internation is a lareliminary examination is all Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written one of the considered to be a lay together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	made, this opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the printer, with amenda	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co. If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expired.	Certain observations (ION) international prelof the Internations coses an Authoric reau under Rule insidered. As provided about the company of the Internations of International Internations of International Internations of International Internations of International Int	ations on the internation is a lareliminary examination is all Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written one of the considered to be a lay together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	made, this opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the printer, with amenda	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to
	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co. If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expired.	Certain observations (ION) international prelof the Internations coses an Authoric reau under Rule insidered. As provided about the company of the Internations of International Internations of International Internations of International Internations of International Int	ations on the internation is a Preliminary Examination is a Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a y together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	made, this opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the printer, with amenda	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to
	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co. If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expired.	Certain observations (ION) international prelof the Internations coses an Authoric reau under Rule insidered. As provided about the company of the Internations of International Internations of International Internations of International Internations of International Int	ations on the internation is a Preliminary Examination is a Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a y together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	made, this opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the printer, with amenda	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to

Reichhardt, O

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2485



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000893

			- I. Mada afaha anining
 	_BC	X_N	o. I. Basis of the opinion
 _1	_Wi	th re lan	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		laı	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
 2.	Wi	th re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and application and the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type	of material:
			a sequence listing
			table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. 1	form	at of material:
			in written format
			in computer readable form
	c. 1	ime	of filing/furnishing:
			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Ad	ditio	nal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000893

Во	x-NoIIPriority
1⊠-	The following-document-has-not-been-furnished:
-	
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date:
2. 🗆	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000893

		he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non								
	obv	byious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
		the entire international application,								
ut	⋈	claims Nos. 2,3,20-33,35								
	bec	Decause:								
-		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):								
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):								
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.								
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 2,3,20-33,35								
		the nucleotide and/or amino aci C of the Administrative Instruct	quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex n that:							
		the written form		has not been furnished						
				does not comply with the standard						
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished						
				does not comply with the standard						
		the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, doments provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
		See separate sheet for further	detail	s						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000893

	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	1. Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims 9	-~-				
		No: Claims 1,4-8,10-19,34,36					
	Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims					
	and the commentation of the contract of the co	No: Claims 9					
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Glaims 1,4-19,34,36					
-		No: Claims					

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB04/00893

- The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 34 is not novel with regard to the teaching of each of the documents US-A-3 862 733, BE-A-1 003 629, FR-A-2 280 346, EP-A-0 369 633 or US-A-2 750 138.
- 2. The additional features as defined in dependent claims 4 8, 10 19, 36 are disclosed in at least one of said documents US-A-3 862 733, BE-A-1 003 629, FR-A-2 280 346, EP-A-0 369 633 or US-A-2 750 138 and as such do not add novel matter.
- 3. The additional features as defined in dependent claim 9 come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art and as such do not add inventive matter (Article 33(3) PCT).